

The quantum inverse scattering method with anyonic grading

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 465201

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/41/46/465201>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.152

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 07:19

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

The quantum inverse scattering method with anyonic grading

M T Batchelor^{1,2}, A Foerster³, X-W Guan¹, J Links⁴ and H-Q Zhou⁵

¹ Department of Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

² Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

³ Instituto de Física da UFRGS, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500, Porto Alegre 91501-970, Brasil

⁴ Centre for Mathematical Physics, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Queensland 4072, Australia

⁵ Centre for Modern Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, People's Republic of China

E-mail: Murray.Batchelor@anu.edu.au, angela@if.ufrgs.br, xwe105@rsphysse.anu.edu.au, jrl@maths.uq.edu.au and hqzhou@cqu.edu.cn

Received 17 July 2008, in final form 11 September 2008

Published 16 October 2008

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/465201

Abstract

We formulate the quantum inverse scattering method for the case of anyonic grading. This provides a general framework for constructing integrable models describing interacting hard-core anyons. Through this method we reconstruct the known integrable model of hard core anyons associated with the XXX model, and as a new application we construct the anyonic $t - J$ model. The energy spectrum for each model is derived by means of a generalization of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. The grading parameters implementing the anyonic signature give rise to sector-dependent phase factors in the Bethe ansatz equations.

PACS number: 05.50.+q

Mathematics Subject Classification: 82B20, 82B23

1. Introduction

The development of the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [1] led to the discovery of a number of quantum integrable models. Applications of the QISM to physical systems such as the Bloch electron problem [2], BCS models [3] and Bose–Einstein condensates [4] have opened up new applications of well-developed mathematical techniques to describe low-dimensional many-body physics [5–7]. However, the main objects of the QISM are restricted to spin and fermion models (or two-dimensional classical vertex models) which are closely related to representations of Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras in finite Hilbert spaces. Although

the Jordan–Wigner transformation can be implemented between different statistics languages, such as the transmutation from bosons to fermions [8, 9], little attention has been paid to integrable models with generalized statistics. Some integrable lattice models with anyon-like commutation relations have been constructed [10, 11]. The anyonic statistical parameters result in global phase factors acting as a gauge potential in the Bethe ansatz equations. These phase factors lead to magnetic flux-like effects. However, if anyonic commutation relations are imposed on the 1D continuum quantum gases, the dynamical interaction and anyonic statistical interaction are inextricably intertwined [13], resulting in quite different low energy properties and statistical effects [14] than the standard 1D Bose and Fermi gases [6].

It is now well understood that the integrable quantum fermion models can be treated by the graded QISM where the Grassmann parity is adapted to fit the anticommuting property of fermions [15–17]. It is natural to ask whether one can modify the usual QISM to treat other models with different statistics, like fractional statistics and anyonic statistics [18, 19]. Here we show that integrable lattice models of hard-core anyons can be systematically constructed via the Yang–Baxter equation with $U(1)$ Abelian group-like grading called anyonic grading. This is a generalization of \mathbb{Z}_2 grading to a continuous $U(1)$ grading function. The anyonic grading has a similar signature as the colour grading invented by Rittenberg and Wyler [20] but is not fully equivalent.

In this paper, we generalize the QISM to the anyonic grading QISM which can be used to construct quantum integrable models describing hard-core anyons. As a first example of the anyonic QISM, we reconstruct the integrable XXX -type model and its exact solution which has previously been studied in [10] via the coordinate Bethe ansatz. As a new application, we then consider the anyonic $su(3) t - J$ model with the Hamiltonian written in terms of hard-core anyon operators, and exactly solve it by the algebraic Bethe ansatz. This gives the energy spectrum in terms of the Bethe ansatz equations. The anyonic grading functions appear in the Bethe ansatz equations resulting in anyonic signature.

Our motivation for developing the QISM with anyonic grading is that it leads to wider application for studying exactly solvable one-dimensional lattice models than the coordinate Bethe ansatz approach, which has previously been discussed in [10, 11]. There are two main reasons for this. The first is that in the coordinate Bethe ansatz approach the anyonic statistics are introduced through the use of canonical operators which are anyonic deformations of the familiar canonical fermion operators, that can in principle be constructed through Jordan–Wigner-type transformations. The algebraic approach we describe is more general and does not depend on the existence of such a representation of the Hilbert space of states. Secondly, the algebraic approach is more accessible for extending the analysis towards the computation of form factors and correlation functions where Jordan–Wigner transformations cannot be directly implemented due to the nonlocality of the transformations. In the QISM approach, the anyonic grading parameters will directly arise in the correlation functions through the commutation relations between creation fields and annihilation fields in the algebraic Bethe ansatz scheme. Moreover, the norms of the Bethe ansatz wavefunctions can be represented as determinants [1, 21, 22]. Other interesting applications of anyonic grading to quantum inverse problems [23, 24] and R -operator representation [23, 25] should be straightforward. For example, for the anyonic $t - J$ model we will construct below, the form factors and correlation functions can be determined following the procedure used for the Heisenberg XXX model [21, 22] and for the supersymmetric $t - J$ model [26].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce some basic concepts for the generalized grading and present the anyonic grading QISM. We give an explicit expression for the Hamiltonian and derive the Bethe ansatz solution for the XXX model of hard core anyons in section 3. In section 4 the $t - J$ model of hard core anyons is constructed and the

exact solution is obtained by the algebraic Bethe ansatz. Concluding remarks are given in section 5.

2. QISM with anyonic grading

The standard colour algebras [20, 27] are defined through the notion of colour graded vector spaces. The colour structures are a generalization of supersymmetric structures in that the grading with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 is generalized to an arbitrary Abelian group Γ . For anyonic grading with the Abelian group being $U(1)$, we can directly define operations in a parallel way to colour grading. Due to the grading being associated with $U(1)$, we always consider cases where the underlying fields for the vector spaces are \mathbb{C} .

Letting U, V denote complex vector spaces with bases $\{u^i\}, \{v^j\}$, the anyonic permutation operator $P : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ is defined by the action on the basis vectors

$$P(u^i \otimes v^j) = w(i, j)(v^j \otimes u^i), \tag{1}$$

where $w(i, j) \in U(1)$ are the anyonic grading parameters. The inverse operator $P^{-1} : V \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes V$ has the action

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}(v^j \otimes u^i) &= \tilde{w}(j, i)(u^i \otimes v^j) \\ &= w^{-1}(i, j)(u^i \otimes v^j). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that in the special case where $U = V$, which occurs when dealing with indistinguishable particles, the anyonic grading parameters must possess the symmetry

$$w(i, j) = w(j, i).$$

Other than this there are no constraints imposed on the choice of the $w(i, j)$. A significant difference between our formulation of anyonic grading and that of colour grading is that for colour grading the constraint

$$w(i, i) = \pm 1$$

is imposed, whereas for anyonic grading we relax this condition. For each choice of anyonic grading it is natural to also define the dual grading with permutation operator P^* acting as

$$P^*(u^i \otimes v^j) = w^{-1}(i, j)(v^j \otimes u^i).$$

Using the fundamental basis of linear operators $\{e_j^i\}$ acting on U and $\{f_l^k\}$ acting on V such that

$$e_j^i u^m = \delta_j^m u^i, \quad f_l^k v^n = \delta_l^n v^k,$$

the basis for the anyonic graded tensor product $\text{End}(U) \otimes_a \text{End}(V)$ is defined by

$$e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k = w(j, k)w^{-1}(j, l)e_j^i \otimes f_l^k. \tag{2}$$

We say that the basis operator $e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k$ is *even* if

$$w(j, k)w^{-1}(j, l) = 1$$

and more generally an operator is even if it is a linear combination of even basis operators. If we introduce a third vector space W with basis $\{g_s^r\}$ then it follows from (2) that the anyonic graded tensor product is associative,

$$(e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k) \otimes_a g_s^r = e_j^i \otimes_a (f_l^k \otimes_a g_s^r).$$

The basis for the opposite anyonic graded tensor product $\text{End}(V) \otimes_a \text{End}(U)$ is defined in terms of P^{-1}

$$\begin{aligned} f_l^k \otimes_a e_j^i &= \tilde{w}(l, i) \tilde{w}^{-1}(l, j) f_l^k \otimes e_j^i \\ &= w^{-1}(i, l) w(j, l) f_l^k \otimes e_j^i. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the twist map $T : \text{End}(V) \otimes_a \text{End}(U) \rightarrow \text{End}(U) \otimes_a \text{End}(V)$. It is defined through the inverse anyonic permutation operator and its dual as

$$\begin{aligned} T(f_l^k \otimes_a e_j^i) &= (P^*)^{-1} (f_l^k \otimes_a e_j^i) P^{-1} \\ &= w(j, l) w^{-1}(i, l) (P^*)^{-1} (f_l^k \otimes_a e_j^i) P^{-1} \\ &= w(j, l) w^{-1}(i, l) w(i, k) w^{-1}(j, l) (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k) \\ &= w(i, k) w(j, l) w^{-1}(i, l) w^{-1}(j, k) (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k). \end{aligned}$$

Through the twist map T and the usual matrix multiplication $m_U : \text{End}(U) \otimes \text{End}(U) \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$ the anyonic graded tensor product multiplication is formally defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k) (e_q^p \otimes_a f_s^r) &= (m_U \otimes m_V) (id \otimes T \otimes id) (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k \otimes_a e_q^p \otimes_a f_s^r) \\ &= w(p, k) w(q, l) w^{-1}(p, l) w^{-1}(q, k) (m_U \otimes m_V) \\ &\quad \times (e_j^i \otimes_a e_q^p \otimes_a f_l^k \otimes_a f_s^r) \\ &= w(p, k) w(q, l) w^{-1}(p, l) w^{-1}(q, k) (e_j^i e_q^p \otimes_a f_l^k f_s^r) \\ &= w(p, k) w(q, l) w^{-1}(p, l) w^{-1}(q, k) (e_q^i \otimes_a f_s^k). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand working directly with the definition (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k) (e_q^p \otimes_a f_s^r) &= w(j, k) w^{-1}(j, l) w(q, r) w^{-1}(q, s) (e_j^i \otimes_a f_l^k) (e_q^p \otimes_a f_s^r) \\ &= w(p, k) w(q, l) w^{-1}(p, l) w^{-1}(q, s) e_q^i \otimes_a f_s^k \\ &= w(p, k) w(q, l) w^{-1}(p, l) w^{-1}(q, k) e_q^i \otimes_a f_s^k \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which shows that the definitions for the anyonic graded tensor product and its multiplication are consistent.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 graded QISM was set up in [17]. Here we establish an analogous anyonic graded QISM. A matrix $R(\lambda)$ is said to fulfill the Yang–Baxter equation (YBE) with anyonic grading if the identity

$$(I \otimes_a \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu)) (\overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda) \otimes_a I) (I \otimes_a \overset{\vee}{R}(\mu)) = (\overset{\vee}{R}(\mu) \otimes_a I) (I \otimes_a \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda)) (\overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu) \otimes_a I) \tag{4}$$

acting on $V_1 \otimes_a V_2 \otimes_a V_3$ holds. We will impose that the $\overset{\vee}{R}$ -matrix is chosen to be even. Thus the YBE with anyonic grading can be written in the component form

$$\overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu)_{c_2 c_3}^{a_2 a_3} \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda)_{b_1 d_2}^{a_1 c_2} \overset{\vee}{R}(\mu)_{b_2 b_3}^{d_2 c_3} = \overset{\vee}{R}(\mu)_{c_1 c_2}^{a_1 a_2} \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda)_{d_2 b_3}^{c_2 a_3} \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu)_{b_1 b_2}^{c_1 d_2}. \tag{5}$$

The summation convention is implied for the repeated indices a_j, b_j, c_j and d_j . We note that despite the fact that the tensor product (4) carries the anyonic grading, there are no extra anyonic grading parameters in (5) compared to the standard one. This is because we consider the case where the R -matrix is even.

With the help of the anyonic permutation operator (1) we may, from (4), prove the anyonic graded YBE in the form

$$R_{12}(\lambda - \mu) R_{13}(\lambda) R_{23}(\mu) = R_{23}(\mu) R_{13}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda - \mu), \tag{6}$$

where $R(\lambda) = P \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda)$. Similarly, in component form it reads

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda - \mu)_{c_1 c_2}^{f_1 e_2} R(\lambda)_{b_1 c_3}^{c_1 f_3} R(\mu)_{b_2 b_3}^{c_2 c_3} w(b_1, c_2) w^{-1}(c_1, c_2) \\ R(\mu)_{c_1 c_3}^{f_1 f_3} R(\lambda)_{c_2 b_3}^{e_2 c_3} R(\lambda - \mu)_{b_1 b_2}^{c_1 c_2} w(c_1, e_2) w^{-1}(f_1, e_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If we choose the spaces V_1, V_2 as auxiliary spaces, the space V_3 as the quantum space, then letting $L_n(\lambda) = R_{0n}(\lambda)$ the anyonic graded YBE (6) becomes

$$R_{00'}(\lambda - \mu) R_{0n}(\lambda) R_{0'n}(\mu) = R_{0'n}(\mu) R_{0n}(\lambda) R_{00'}(\lambda - \mu), \quad (8)$$

or equivalently

$$\overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu) L_n(\lambda) \otimes_a L_n(\mu) = L_n(\mu) \otimes_a L_n(\lambda) \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu). \quad (9)$$

In component form

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu)_{c_1 c_2}^{a_1 a_2} L_n(\lambda)_{b_1 r_n}^{a_1 a_n} L_n(\mu)_{b_2 b_n}^{c_2 r_n} w(b_1, c_2) w^{-1}(c_1, c_2) \\ = L_n(\mu)_{c_1 r_n}^{a_1 a_n} L_n(\lambda)_{c_2 b_n}^{a_2 r_n} \overset{\vee}{R}(\lambda - \mu)_{b_1 b_2}^{c_1 c_2} w(c_1, a_2) w^{-1}(a_1, a_2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The Yang–Baxter algebra (10) with anyonic grading naturally provides a set of anyonic commutation relations for interacting hard-core anyons⁶. As a consequence, the anyonic grading gives rise to sector-dependent phase factors in the Bethe ansatz solution. The subtlety of the anyonic grading parameters is seen clearly in the anyonic $\text{su}(3)$ $t - J$ model discussed in section 4.

Let us define the monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ as the matrix product over the Lax operators on all sites of the lattice, i.e.

$$T(\lambda) = L_N(\lambda) L_{N-1}(\lambda) \cdots L_1(\lambda). \quad (11)$$

Here $T(\lambda)$ is a quantum operator valued matrix that acts nontrivially in the anyonic tensor product of a whole quantum space of the lattice and satisfies the global anyonic graded YBE

$$R(\lambda - \mu) T(\lambda) \otimes_a T(\mu) = T(\mu) \otimes_a T(\lambda) R(\lambda - \mu). \quad (12)$$

Consequently, the transfer matrix $\tau(\lambda) = \text{atr}[T(\lambda)] = \sum_{a=1}^n w(a, a)^{-1} T(\lambda)_a^a$ forms a commuting family for all values of the spectral parameters. Here, atr is the anyonic graded trace carried out in the auxiliary space with n the dimension of the auxiliary space. It follows that the transfer matrix can be considered as the generating functional of the Hamiltonian and of an infinite number of higher conservation laws of the model.

3. The XXX model of hard core anyons

As a first step we consider the integrable hard-core anyon model with the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \eta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^L (a_{j+1}^\dagger a_j + a_j^\dagger a_{j+1} + 2n_{j+1} n_j - 2n_j) \\ &= \eta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^L (q a_j a_{j+1}^\dagger + q^{-1} a_{j+1} a_j^\dagger + 2n_{j+1} n_j - 2n_j), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the operator $n_j = a_j^\dagger a_j$ is the number operator of hard-core anyons and a_j^\dagger and a_j are the creation and annihilation hard-core anyon operators satisfying the commutation relations

⁶ A similar form of the Yang–Baxter algebra was given in the context of braided quantum YBE [28].

$$\{a_j, a_j\} = \{a_j^\dagger, a_j^\dagger\} = 0 \quad \{a_j, a_j^\dagger\} = 1 \quad (14)$$

$$a_i^\dagger a_j = q a_j a_i^\dagger, \quad a_j^\dagger a_i = q^{-1} a_i a_j^\dagger. \quad (15)$$

$$a_j^\dagger a_i^\dagger = q a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger, \quad a_j a_i = q a_i a_j. \quad (16)$$

Here we assume $i > j$ with $\{ \}$ denoting the anticommutator as usual. The Hamiltonian (13) reveals an anyonic signature when particles interchange. The above on-site commutation relations are indicative of hard-core particle behaviour. We note that the hard-core anyons [8] preserve the Pauli exclusion principle whereas the off-site ones have a free anyonic parameter when two particles exchange their positions. This model (more specifically the XXZ generalization) was previously solved in [10] using the coordinate Bethe ansatz. Below, we will confirm that this model arises through the anyonic QISM with the same solution as obtained via the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

Consider the quantum R -matrix of the XXX model

$$\check{R}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda + \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where η is a quasiclassical parameter. If we choose the anyonic parity

$$w(1, 1) = w(1, 2) = w(2, 1) = 1; \quad w(2, 2) = q, \quad (18)$$

the anyonic grading Lax operator on site j is given by

$$L_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \eta(1 - n_j) & \eta a_j^\dagger \\ \eta a_j & \lambda + (\lambda(q - 1) + q\eta)n_j \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

It is easy to check that the Lax operator (19) does satisfy the anyonic grading YBE (9) with the commutation relations (16). As a consequence, the monodromy matrix generates the global anyonic grading YBE (12). Then the integrals of motion of the model can be obtained from the expansion of the transfer matrix in the spectral parameter λ . Explicitly,

$$\tau(\lambda) = (1 + H\lambda + \dots)\tau(0), \quad (20)$$

where the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} H_{ii+1} + H_{N1}. \quad (21)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} H_{jj+1} &= L_{j+1}(0)L'_j(0)L_j^{-1}(0)L_{j+1}^{-1}(0), \\ H_{N1} &= \text{atr}(L'_N(0)L_N^{-1}(0)L_1(0)) \\ &= \eta^{-1} \text{atr}(L'_N(0)L_1(0))P_{1N}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The properties

$$P_{12}^{-1} \overset{1}{X} P_{12} = \overset{2}{X}, \quad P_{0j}^{-1} P_{0k} P_{0j} = P_{jk} \quad (23)$$

are applied in the above derivation. These properties imply a constraint on the grading function such that $w(\alpha, \beta) = w(\beta, \alpha)$. After a lengthy algebraic calculation, the explicit expression for the Hamiltonian density and the boundary terms is given by (up to a constant)

$$H_{jj+1} = (1 - n_{j+1})(1 - n_j) + n_{j+1}n_j + a_{j+1}^\dagger a_j + a_j^\dagger a_{j+1}, \quad (24)$$

$$H_{N1} = (1 - n_1)(1 - n_N) + n_1n_N + a_1^\dagger a_N + a_N^\dagger a_1, \quad (25)$$

which preserve the periodic boundary condition for the model (13). To keep the Hamiltonian (13) Hermitian, we restrict ourselves to $q^* = q^{-1}$, where the superscript $*$ denotes complex conjugation. We remark that this model covers the hard-core boson model and the fermion model as special choices of the anyonic gradings. For example, for $q = 1$ the model corresponds to a hard-core boson XXX model. Using the Matsubara and Matsuda transformations [8, 29], this hard-core model becomes the standard XXX vertex model. For $q = -1$ it is the $su(2)XXX$ fermion chain.

After performing the standard algebraic Bethe ansatz, the transfer matrix eigenvalues are of the form

$$\Lambda(\lambda, \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M) = (\lambda + \eta)^N \prod_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{\lambda - v_\alpha - \eta}{\lambda - v_\alpha} + \lambda^N q^{M-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{\lambda - v_\alpha + \eta}{\lambda - v_\alpha} \quad (26)$$

provided that

$$\left(\frac{v_\alpha + \eta}{v_\alpha} \right)^N = q^{M-1} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha}^M \frac{v_\alpha - v_\beta + \eta}{v_\alpha - v_\beta - \eta}. \quad (27)$$

Here $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$. If we perform a rescaling of the spectral parameter such that $v_\alpha \rightarrow v_\alpha/i - \eta/2$ the energy spectrum is

$$E = -\eta \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{1}{v_\alpha + \eta^2/4}, \quad (28)$$

where now the parameters v_α satisfy

$$\left(\frac{v_\alpha + i\eta/2}{v_\alpha - i\eta/2} \right)^N = q^{M-1} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha}^M \frac{v_\alpha - v_\beta + i\eta}{v_\alpha - v_\beta - i\eta}. \quad (29)$$

Note the way in which the anyonic grading parameter q appears in the Bethe ansatz equations. It results in different distributions for the v_α than those for the standard XXX model, leading to subtle physical properties [10].

4. The $t - J$ model of hard-core anyons

Much work has been devoted during the last few decades towards a better understanding of integrable models of strongly correlated electrons. There are two kinds of prototypical $t - J$ models [30] which are integrable — the integrable *supersymmetric* $t - J$ model [31, 32] and the integrable $su(3) t - J$ model [33, 34]. Here we present an integrable $su(3) t - J$ model of interacting hard-core anyons related to anyonic grading. The Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \eta H = t \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{\alpha=\uparrow,\downarrow} (\tilde{a}_{j,\alpha}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j+1,\alpha} + \text{h.c.}) \\ + J \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^L \vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+1} + \frac{1}{4} n_j n_{j+1} \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^L (1 - n_j)(1 - n_{j+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $n_j = n_{j\uparrow} + n_{j\downarrow}$ is the number operator of single hard-core anyons with up and down spins. Here $\tilde{a}_{j\alpha}^\dagger = a_{j\alpha}^\dagger(1 - n_{j,-\alpha})$, which prohibits double occupancy. The model is integrable when $J = 2$ and $t = 1$. These operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$\{a_{j\alpha}, a_{j\alpha}\} = \{a_{j\alpha}^\dagger, a_{j\alpha}^\dagger\} = 0 \quad \{a_{j\alpha}, a_{j\alpha}^\dagger\} = 1, \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow} &= q_1 a_{j\downarrow} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger, & a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} &= q_2 a_{j\uparrow} a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger, \\ a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow} &= q_3 a_{j\downarrow} a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger, & a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} &= q_3 a_{j\uparrow} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger, \\ a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{i\downarrow} &= q_1 a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow}, & a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{i\uparrow} &= q_2 a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow}, \\ a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{i\uparrow} &= q_3 a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow}, & a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{i\downarrow} &= q_3 a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow}, \\ q_1 q_3^{-1} a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{i\uparrow} &= q_3 q_2^{-1} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{i\uparrow} a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow}, \\ q_3 q_1^{-1} a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{i\downarrow} a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} &= q_2 q_3^{-1} a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{i\downarrow}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $i > j$ is assumed. The spin operator is denoted by $\vec{S} = \frac{1}{2} a_\alpha^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_\beta$, i.e.

$$S^+ = a_\uparrow^\dagger a_\downarrow, \quad S^- = a_\downarrow^\dagger a_\uparrow, \quad S^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow). \quad (33)$$

However, the spin exchange interaction is given by

$$\vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q_3}{q_1} S_{j+1}^+ S_j^- + \frac{q_1}{q_3} S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- \right) + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \quad (34)$$

which evidently depends on the commutation parameters of the hard-core anyons. Of course, we can present another equivalent form of the spin exchange terms,

$$\vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q_3}{q_2} S_{j+1}^+ S_j^- + \frac{q_2}{q_3} S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- \right) + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z, \quad (35)$$

with the operators

$$S^+ = a_\downarrow^\dagger a_\uparrow, \quad S^- = a_\uparrow^\dagger a_\downarrow, \quad S^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_\downarrow - n_\uparrow). \quad (36)$$

In the above $q_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are arbitrary anyonic parameters with the property $q_i^* = q_i^{-1}$ for the Hamiltonian (30) to remain Hermitian. In this model, on-site interaction between the hard-core anyons preserves the Pauli exclusion principle. But anyonic phases associated with the exchange of two particles at different sites depend on their positions. We also see that anisotropic spin exchange interaction in the hard-core anyon $su(3) t - J$ model replaces the ferromagnetic spin exchange in the standard $su(3) t - J$ model [33, 34]. These free parameters act as anisotropic parameters characterizing the anyon spin interaction. They lead to new phase factors in the Bethe ansatz equations.

In order to link the anyonic grading $t - J$ model to Hamiltonian (30), we need to employ the $su(3)R$ -matrix [32]

$$\check{R}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} a(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c(u) & 0 & b(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & b(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(u) & 0 & c(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c(u) & 0 & b(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & c(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b(u) & 0 & c(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$a(u) = u + \eta, \quad b(u) = u, \quad c(u) = \eta.$$

Given the anyonic grading

$$\begin{aligned} w(1, 1) = q_1, \quad w(2, 2) = q_2, \quad w(1, 2) = w(2, 1) = q_3, \\ w(3, 1) = w(1, 3) = w(3, 2) = w(2, 3) = w(3, 3) = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

one can show that the Lax operator

$$L_j(u) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1(\eta + u)n_{j\downarrow} & q_3\eta a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow} & \eta(1 - n_{j\uparrow})a_{j\downarrow} \\ +u(q_3n_{j\uparrow} + 1 - n_j) & u(q_3n_{j\downarrow} + 1 - n_j) & \eta(1 - n_{j\downarrow})a_{j\uparrow} \\ q_3\eta a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} & +q_2(u + \eta)n_{j\uparrow} & \\ \eta a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger(1 - n_{j\uparrow}) & \eta a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger(1 - n_{j\downarrow}) & u + \eta(1 - n_j) \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

generates the local anyonic grading YBE (9). As a consequence, the integrals of motion of the model can be obtained from the expansion of the transfer matrix in the spectral parameter u . Using expressions (21) and (22) with the above anyonic grading, the Hamiltonian (30) can be derived from the relation

$$\tau(\lambda) = (1 + H\lambda + \dots)\tau(0). \quad (40)$$

In this way the integrability of the supersymmetric $t - J$ model of hard-core anyons (30) is guaranteed by the anyonic grading Yang–Baxter equations (4). Special choices of the grading parameters characterize different statistical mechanical models. For example, if $q_i = 1$ the model becomes the $su(3)$ Heisenberg model [32] in terms of hard-core bosons [8]. Whereas if $q_i = -1$ the model becomes a $su(3)t - J$ model [33, 34]. We see then that these parameters characterize different statistics and will result in different physical properties. We now turn to the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz [31] to derive the exact solution of the model.

Define

$$T(u) = L_L(u) \cdots L_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(u) & A_{12}(u) & B_1(u) \\ A_{21}(u) & A_{22}(u) & B_2(u) \\ C_1(u) & C_2(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix} \quad (41)$$

acting on the anyonic Hilbert space. We choose the vacuum state $|0\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^L \otimes_c |0\rangle_i$ with $a_{i\alpha}|0\rangle = 0$. The nested algebraic Bethe ansatz solution of the usual $t - J$ model has been discussed at length in the literature [31], so here we highlight only the differences in the nesting structure caused by the anyonic grading. The commutation relations between the diagonal fields and the creation fields are

$$D(u_1)C_a(u_2) = \frac{a(u_2 - u_1)}{b(u_2 - u_1)}C_a(u_2)D(u_1) - \frac{c(u_2 - u_1)}{b(u_2 - u_1)}C_a(u_1)D(u_2) \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ab}(u_1)C_f(u_2) &= \frac{a(u_1 - u_2)}{b(u_1 - u_2)}\{r^{(1)}(u_1 - u_2)_{ed}^{bf}w(e, a)C_e(u_2)A_{ad}(u_1)\} \\ &\quad - \frac{c(u_1 - u_2)}{b(u_1 - u_2)}w(b, a)C_b(u_1)A_{ac}(u_2) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} r_{aa}^{aa} &= 1, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad r_{ab}^{ab} = \frac{c(u)}{a(u)}, \quad a \neq b = 1, 2, \\ r_{ba}^{ab} &= \frac{b(u)}{a(u)}, \quad a \neq b = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The anyonic grading functions appearing in the commutation relation (43) are kept in the nested transfer matrix for the spin degree of freedom. This makes the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz very complicated. We see however, that the first term in each of the commutation relations (42)–(43) contribute to the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix which should be analytic functions of the spectral parameter u . Consequently, the residues at singular points must vanish. This yields the Bethe ansatz equations which in turn assure the cancellation of the unwanted terms in the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. To this end, we choose the Bethe state $|\Phi\rangle$ as

$$|\Phi\rangle = C_{g_1}(u_1) \cdots C_{g_N}(u_N)|0\rangle F^{g_N \cdots g_1}. \quad (45)$$

Following the standard procedure of the algebraic Bethe ansatz, the eigenvalue of the monodromy matrix acting on the state (45) is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(u)|\Phi\rangle &= \Lambda(u, \{u_i\})|\Phi\rangle = (u + \eta)^L \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(u - u_i - \eta)}{(u - u_i)} |\Phi\rangle \\ &+ u^L \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{u - u_i + \eta}{u - u_i} \prod_{l=1}^N C_{g_l}(u_l)|0\rangle [\tau^{(1)}(u)]_{g_1 \cdots g_N}^{h_1 \cdots h_N} F^{g_N \cdots g_1} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

provided that

$$\frac{(u + \eta)^L}{u^L} \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^N \frac{u_i - u_l - \eta}{u_i - u_l + \eta} = [\tau^{(1)}(u)]_{g_1 \cdots g_N}^{h_1 \cdots h_N} |_{u=u_i}. \quad (47)$$

In the above the nested transfer matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau^{(1)}(u)]_{g_1 \cdots g_N}^{h_1 \cdots h_N} &= \text{atr}_0(L_N^{(1)}(u - u_N)_{ah_N}^{d_{N-1}g_N} L_{N-1}^{(1)}(u - u_{N-1})_{d_{N-1}h_{N-1}}^{d_{N-2}g_{N-1}} \\ &\cdots L_2^{(1)}(u - u_2)_{d_2h_2}^{d_1g_2} L_1^{(1)}(u - u_1)_{d_1h_1}^{ag_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the local Lax operator reads

$$L_j^{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 n_\downarrow + \frac{b(u)}{a(u)} q_3 n_\uparrow & \frac{c(u)}{a(u)} q_3 a_\uparrow^\dagger a_\downarrow \\ \frac{c(u)}{a(u)} q_3 a_\downarrow^\dagger a_\uparrow & q_2 n_\uparrow + \frac{b(u)}{a(u)} q_3 n_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

This satisfies the anyonic grading YBE (12) with grading $w(1, 1) = q_1, w(2, 2) = q_2, w(1, 2) = w(2, 1) = q_3$. This realization of the nested Lax operator (49) paves the way to diagonalize the transfer matrix of the model. After some algebra, we obtain the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(u, \{u_i\} \{v_l\}) &= (u + \eta)^L \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(u - u_i - \eta)}{(u - u_i)} + u^L q_1^{M-1} q_3^{N-M} \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{u - v_l + \eta}{u - v_l} \\ &+ u^L q_2^{N-M-1} q_3^M \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{u - u_i + \eta}{u - u_i} \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{u - v_l - \eta}{u - v_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Here the quantum numbers N and M are the total number of hard-core anyons and the number of hard-core anyons with down spin, respectively. The parameters u_i and v_l characterize the charge and spin rapidities of the model. If making a rescaling $u_i \rightarrow u_i - \eta/2, v_l \rightarrow v_l - \eta,$

the Bethe ansatz equations are given by

$$\frac{\left(u_i + \frac{i\eta}{2}\right)^L}{\left(u_i - \frac{i\eta}{2}\right)^L} = q_2^{N-M-1} q_3^M \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^N \frac{u_i - u_l + i\eta}{u_i - u_l - i\eta} \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{u_i - v_l - \frac{i\eta}{2}}{u_i - v_l + \frac{i\eta}{2}}, \tag{51}$$

$$q_1^{M-1} q_2^{-(N-M-1)} q_3^{N-2M} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{v_j - u_i - \frac{i\eta}{2}}{v_j - u_i + \frac{i\eta}{2}} = \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^M \frac{v_j - v_l - i\eta}{v_j - v_l + i\eta},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$. In this way we have the energy spectrum

$$E = L - \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{u_i^2 + \frac{\eta^2}{4}}. \tag{52}$$

5. Conclusion

In summary, we have constructed a class of integrable models associated with anyonic grading. We found that these integrable models may be used to describe the interaction of hard-core anyons. With regard to the anyonic grading supersymmetric structure, we presented a unifying approach—the anyonic grading QISM—to treat this class of integrable models. We explicitly constructed integrable models of hard-core anyons associated with the XXX model and the $t - J$ model with anyonic grading. The exact solutions of these models were obtained by means of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. It is seen that the phase functions associated with the exchange of two hard-core anyons at different sites lead to nontrivial phase factors in the Bethe ansatz equations. These phase factors encode the anyonic effects in these models. Application of the anyonic grading QISM to the 1D Hubbard model [5] and the $su(2,1)$ supersymmetric $t - J$ model with different gradings would provide an interesting generalization of strongly correlated systems [34, 35] to models of hard-core anyons. We hope to consider these problems and their ground-state properties elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Australian Research Council for support. A Foerster thanks CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and FAPERGS (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul) for financial support. H-Q Zhou acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 10774197) and from the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (CSTC2008BC2023).

References

[1] Kulish P P and Sklyanin E K 1982 *Integrable Quantum Field Theories (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 151)* ed J Hietarinta and C Montonen (Berlin: Springer) p 61
 Faddeev L D 1984 *Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics* ed J-B Zuber and R Stora (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
 Korepin V E, Bogoliubov N M and Izergin A G 1993 *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[2] Wiegmann P B and Zabrodin A V 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 1890

[3] Zhou H-Q, Links J, McKenzie J R H and Gould M D 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **65** 060502
 von Delft J and Poghossian R 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 134502
 Amico L, Falci G and Fazio R 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 6425–34

- Guan X-W, Foerster A, Links J and Zhou H-Q 2002 *Nucl. Phys. B* **642** 501
 Dukelsky J, Pittel J S and Sierra G 2004 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** 643
- [4] Links J, Zhou H-Q, McKenzie R H and Gould M D 2003 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** R63
 Zhou H-Q, Links J, McKenzie J R H and Guan X-W 2003 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** L113
 Foerster A and Ragoucy E 2007 *Nucl. Phys. B* **777** 373
- [5] Essler F H L, Frahm H, Göhmann F, Klümper A and Korepin V E 2005 *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [6] Takahashi M 1999 *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [7] Batchelor M T, Guan X-W, Oelkers N and Tsuboi Z 2007 *Adv. Phys.* **56** 465
- [8] Batista C D and Ortiz G 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 1082
- [9] Wang S 1995 *Phys. Rev. E* **51** 1004
 Fradkin E 1989 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** 322
- [10] Amico L, Osterloh A and Eckern U 1988 *Phys. Rev. B* **58** R1703
- [11] Osterloh A, Amico L and Eckern U 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** L487
- [12] Dukelsky J, Esebbag C and Schuck P 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 066403
- [13] Kundu A 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 1275
 Batchelor M T, Guan X-W and Oelkers N 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 210402
 Batchelor M T, Guan X-W and He J-S 2007 *J. Stat. Mech.* P03007
 Patu O I, Korepin V E and Averin D V 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 14963
 Zhu R-G and Wang A-M 2007 Theoretical construction of 1D anyon models arXiv:0712.1264
- [14] Batchelor M T and Guan X-W 2006 *Phys. Rev. B* **74** 195121
 Patu O I, Korepin V E and Averin D V 2008 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 145006
 Patu O I, Korepin V E and Averin D V 2008 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 255205
 Santachiara R and Calabrese P 2008 *J. Stat. Mech.* P06005
 Calabrese P and Mintchev M 2007 *Phys. Rev. B* **75** 233104
 Santachiara R, Stauffer R F and Cabra D 2007 *J. Stat. Mech.* L05003
 del Campo A 2008 Fermionization and bosonization of expanding 1D anyonic fluids arXiv:0805.3786
 Batchelor M T, Guan X-W and Kundu A 2008 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 352002
 Hao Y, Zhang Y and Chen S 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 023631
- [15] Kulish P P 1986 *J. Sov. Math.* **35** 2648
- [16] Wadati M, Olmedilla E and Akutsu Y 1987 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **56** 1340
 Olmedilla E, Wadati M and Akutsu Y 1987 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **56** 2298
- [17] Göhmann F and Murakami S 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 7729
 Guan X-W, Foerster A, Roditi I and Zhou H-Q 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 9357
- [18] Haldane F D M 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 937
- [19] Wu Y S 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 922
 Bernard D and Wu Y-S 1995 *New Developments of Integrable Systems and Long-Ranged Interaction Models* ed M-L Ge and Y-S Wu (Singapore: World Scientific) p 10
 Isakov S B 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 2150
 Nayak C and Wilczek F 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 2740
- [20] Rittenberg V and Wyler D 1978 *Nucl. Phys. B* **139** 189
- [21] Kitanine N, Maillet J M and Terras V 1999 *Nucl. Phys. B* **554** 647
- [22] Slavnov N A 1989 *Theor. Math. Phys.* **79** 502
- [23] Göhmann F and Korepin V E 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 1199
- [24] Maillet J M and Terras V 2000 *Nucl. Phys. B* **575** 627
- [25] Umeno Y, Shiroishi M and Wadati M 1998 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **67** 1930
 Umeno Y, Shiroishi M and Wadati M 1998 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **67** 2242
- [26] Zhao S-Y, Yang W-L and Zhang Y-Z 2006 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **268** 505
- [27] Green H S 1982 *Colour Algebras and Generalized Statistics (Lecture Notes in Physics, Proc. XIth Int. Coll. Group Theoretical Methods in Physics vol 180)* (Berlin: Spinger) p 346
 Jarvis P D and Green H S 1983 *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 1681
 McAnally D S 1995 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **33** 249
 McAnally D S and Bracken A J 1997 *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **55** 425
- [28] Hlavatý L and Kundu A 1996 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **11** 2143
- [29] Matsubara T and Matsuda H 1956 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16** 569
- [30] Lai C K 1974 *J. Math. Phys.* **15** 1675
 Sutherland B 1975 *Phys. Rev. B* **12** 3795

- Anderson P W 1987 *Science* **235** 1196
Zhang F C and Rice T M 1988 *Phys. Rev. B* **37** 3759
- [31] Essler F H L and Korepin V E 1992 *Phys. Rev. B* **46** 9147
Foerster A and Karowski M 1992 *Phys. Rev. B* **46** 9234
Foerster A and Karowski M 1993 *Nucl. Phys. B* **396** 611
- [32] Schlottmann P 1997 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **11** 355
- [33] Schlottmann P 1987 *Phys. Rev. B* **36** 5177
- [34] Zhou H Q, Ge X Y, Links J and Gould M D 1999 *Nucl. Phys. B* **546** 779
- [35] Carmelo J M P, Horsch P and Ovchinnikov A 1992 *Phys. Rev. B* **45** 7899
Göhmann F and Seel A 2003 *Czech. J. Phys.* **53** 1041